



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

Project Name: Request for Proposal to provide consultancy services to Review the Transmission Grid Code

Procurement Reference number: CS/RFP/ECB-2021/22-01-TR

1. Scope of Contract:

The ECB seeks to procure the services of suitably qualified service providers/consultants who shall assist the Grid Code Advisory Committee (GCAC) to review of the Transmission (TX) Grid Code.

The Consultant is required to:

1.1 Within one week of appointment, prepare a draft Work Plan covering the following:

- Proposed activities to meet the Scope of Services.
- Proposed GCAC Communication and Engagement Strategy.
- Reporting protocols.
- Timelines.
- Deliverables i.e., Inception Report, including finalized Work Plan

1.2 Through benchmarking and in line with best current practices and principles, review the current Transmission (TX) Grid Code, considering:

- Technological considerations and requirements,
- Legal provisions,
- Licensing requirements,
- Design standards and compliance to the safety code

1.3 Ensure that the TX Grid Code is updated in line with all regulatory tools and existing government Policies and Directives.

1.4 Present the draft reviewed TX Grid Code document to the GCAC committee

2. Procurement method used: Request for proposals

3. Date of Invitation of Bids: 06 October 2021

4. Closing date for submission of bids: 08 November 2021

5. Date and place of opening of bids: 08 November 2021, ECB Main Boardroom ECB

6. Number of bids received by closing date: Four

7. Responsiveness of bids at Bid Opening: Four

NO:	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Evaluation N\$	Responsive at Technical Evaluation (Yes/ No)	Bidder meets Technical Requirements threshold	Comments
1	Protecton Engineering Namibia	Financial Proposal was not opened	No	N/A	<p>Disqualified for:</p> <p>1. Not complying with section 8(c)(i) (RFP document). This section refers to bidders who submit incomplete information and documentation not in accordance with the requirements of this RFP document. Upon review the evaluation team discovered that the bidding company only submitted one original technical proposal and had not submitted a copy of the technical proposal. As per Instruction on submission of Bids, section 3(a) of the Terms of Reference document.</p> <p>2. Not complying with section 8(c)(i). This section refers to bidders who submit incomplete information and documentation not in accordance with the requirements of the RFP document; Upon review the evaluation team discovered that AETEC the subcontracting company registration certificate was not an original company registration certificate from the</p>

					<p>Companies and Intellectual Property Commission (CIPC) website, neither was it a certified copy of an original document, as certified by a commissioner of oath.</p> <p>3. Not complying with section 8(c)(i). This section refers to bidders who submit incomplete information and documentation not in accordance with the requirements of the RFP document; Upon review the evaluation team discovered that the whole technical proposal document is not filed appropriately (The pages of the whole technical proposal document were disorganized).</p>
2	Africa Power and Light Company	Financial Proposal was not opened	No	N/A	<p>Disqualified for:</p> <p>Not complying with section 8(c)(i) This section refers to bidders who submit incomplete information and documentation not in accordance with the requirements of the RFP document; Upon review the evaluation team discovered that PMO the subcontracting company's registration certificate was not the original company registration certificate from the Ministry of Industrialization, Trade and SME development,</p>

					neither a certified copy of an original document, as certified by a commissioner of oath.
3	Hexagon Engineering	Financial Proposal was not opened	No	N/A	<p>Disqualified for</p> <p>1. Not complying with section 8(c) last sentence. This section refers to bidders who include financial information and financial annexures in the technical bid. Upon review the evaluation team discovered that the technical proposal contained financial information, which was deemed to be part of the financial proposal.</p> <p>2. Not complying with section 8(c)(i) This section refers to bidders who submit incomplete information and documentation not in accordance with the requirements of the RFP document; Upon review the evaluation team discovered that the subcontracting company registration certificate is not original Company registration certificate from the Ministry of Industrialization, neither a certified copy of an original document, as certified by a commissioner of oath</p>

4	Energy System Planning	Financial Proposal was not opened	No	Yes	Did not meet the minimum technical score requirement of 52.5 instead their score is 49.8
---	------------------------	-----------------------------------	----	-----	---

8. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:

Bidder' s Name	A. Price at Bid Evaluation N\$	B. Bid Price after corrections N\$	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference [If applicable]	Rank
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

9. Best Evaluated Bid:

Looking at all factors above the Bid Evaluation Committee resolved to recommend for cancellation of the tender process and re-advertise the project with changes in the scope of service. Considering factors such as the Modified Single Buyer (MSB) and to specify details such as the number of stakeholder workshops to be hosted.